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## MR. SPEAKER,

I rise to address yont, on this oceasion, with no affected diffidence ; and with many doubts, concerning the expediency of taking any part, in this debate. On the one hand, the subject has been discussed with a zeal, industry and talent, which leave but little scope for novelty, either in topie, or illustration. On the other hand, arguments from this side of the house, in favor of this question, are received with so natural a jealousy, that I know not, whether more may not be lost than gained, by so unpropitious a support. Indeed, Sir, if this sulject had been disenssed on narrokv, or temporary, or party principles, I should have been silent. On sueh ground I could not condescend to debate; I could not hope to ininuence. But the scale of discussion has been enlarged and liberal; relative rather to the general system, than to the particular exigeney ; in almost every respect, it has been honorable to the liouse, and auspicious to the prospects of the nation. In such a state of feeling and sentiment, $\mathbf{I}$ could not refrain from indilging the lope, that suggestions, even from no favorite quarter, would be reecived with candor, perhaps with attention. And, when I consider the deep interest, which, the state, from which I have the lienor to be a representative, has, aceording to my apprehension, in the event, I cannot permit the opportunity, entirely, to pass, without bringing my small tribute of reflection, into the general stock of the house.
The object I shall, chiefly, attempt to enforee, is the necessity and duty of a systematic protection of oar maritime rights, by maritine means. I wouid eall the thoughtful, and intelligent men of this House and nation, to the contemplation of the essential connexion, between a naval foree, proportionate to the circumstances of our sea coast, the extent of our eommeree, and the inherent enterprise of our people ;-I say, sir, I would call them to the contemplation of the essential connexion, between such a naval force and the safety, prosperity and existenee of our union. In the course of my observations, and as a subsidiary argument, $I_{\text {, shall }}$ also attempt to shew the comexion between the adoption of the prineiple of a sys. tematie maintenance of our maritime rights, by maritimo
means, and relief from our present national embarrassments.

I confess to you, Mr. Speaker, I never can look. indeed, in my opinion. no Ameriran statesman ongelat ever to look, on any question. tourhing the vital interests of this nation. or of any of its component parts, without keening at all times, in distinct view, the natme of our political association, and the eharacter of the independent sovereignties, which compose it. Among states, the only sure and permanent bond of union is interest. And the vital interests of states, althomgh they may be sometimes obseured, can never. for a very long time, be misapprehended. The natural protertion, which the essential interests of the great component parts of our politieal association require, will be, sooner or latro, understood. by the states. coneerned in those interests. If a proteetion, upon system. be not provided. it is inmpessible that discontent should not result. And need I Cell sabesmen, that, when great local discontent is combined, in those sections, with groat physiral powor and with acknowledged portions of sovereisuty, the inbred ties of nature will be too stronge for the artifirial tics of arrhmart eampate ?

Hener it results that the essential interests of the great component parts of our assoriation, onglit to be the polar lights of all our statesmen. "By them they should guide their comrse. Acroording to the bearings and variations of those lights should the statesmen of such a country adjust lheir policy. Always bearing in mind two assmrances, as fundamental principles of action, which the nature of things teaches; -that although temporary ciremmstames, party spirit, local rivalrics, personal jealousies, sumgestions of subordinate intrerests, may weaken, or ever destroy. for a time, the influmee of the leading and permanent interests of any great sertion of the country, yet those introests minst ultimately, and neressamily predominate and swallow mp ali these local, and temporary, and personal, and subordinate considerations: in other worts, the minor interests wil! soon begin to realize the essential cestarexion, which exists between their mosierity, and tle prosperity of those great interests, which, in such sections of the coun-
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try, nature has made prodominant ;-and, that no polifical conuexion, among free states, can be lasting, or ought to he. which systematically, oppresses, or systematioally refitses to protect, the vital interests of any of the sovereignties, which compose it.

I have rectirued to these general considerations, to introdsucc, and elucidate this primeiple, which is, the bavis of my aremment:--that, as it is the incumbent duty of revery nation to protect its essential interests. so it is the most impressive and ruitical duty of a nation, composed wf:a voluntary association of vast, powerful and independent states. to protect the essential interests of all its creat, component. marts. Anil I add, that this proterdion must not be formal, or fictitions, but that it minst be proporfiomate to the sreatness of those interests, and of a nature to ;ive content to the states, concerned in their protection.

In referencre to this princighe, the comse of my reflections will be winded by two gencoul inquiries: the nature of the intersest to be protected : the natme of the protec. tion to be extended. In pursuing these inquiries, I shall touch very slightly, if at all, on the abstrate dinty of protection; which is the very end of all political associations and without the attainment of which. they are burdens \& no blessimes. But I shall krep it mainly, in my purpose, to establisa the connexion between a ataval foree and commercial prosperity; and to show the mature of the necessity, and the degree of ond eapacity, to give to our maritime rights a maritime proterdion.

In contemplatins the nature of the interest to be protected. three prominent featuras strike the eye and direct the course of redlection; its locality;-its greatness;ansl its icumanency.

The locality of any great iaterest, in an association of states. smeh as compose this union, will be a ciremmstance of wimaty importance, in the estimation of every wise statesman. When a great interest is. equally, diffosed over the whole mass. it may be tegle eted, or oppressed, or even abandoned, with less hazard of intermal diesension. The efrality of the pressure lightens the burdeti. The common nature of the interest remeves the causes of jea-

1ousy. A concern, equally affecting the happiness of every part of the nation, it is natural to suppose is equally dear to all ; and equally understood by all. Hence results acquiescence, in any artificial, or political embarassment of it. Sectional fears and suspieions, in such ease, have no food for support and no stimulant for activity. But it is far otherwise, when a great interest is, from its nature, eitlier wholy, or in a very great proportion, local. In relation to such a local interest, it is impossible, that jealeusies and suspicions shou!d not arise, whenever it is obstructed by any artificial, or political embarassment. And it is also, impossible, that they should not be, in a greater, or less degree, just. It is true of the wisest, and the best, and the most thoughtful, of our speeies, that thicy are so constituted, as not deeply to realise the importance of interests, which affect them not at all, or very remotely. Every local cirele of states, as well as of individuals, has a set of interests, in the prosperity of which, the happiness of the section, to which they belong is identified. In relation to which interests the hopes and the fears, the reasonings and the schemes of the inhabitants of such sections are necessarily, fashioned and condueted. It is, morally, impossible, that those, concerned in snch sectional interests, should not look, with some degree of jealousy, on sehemes adopted, in relation to those interests and prosecuted by men, a majority of which have a very remote or very smail saike, in then. Aud this jealousy must rise to an extreme height, when the course of measures adopted, whether they have relation to the management, or the protection of such interests, wholly contravene the opiuions and the practical experience of the persons, immediately concerned in them. This course of reflection has a tendency to illustrate this idea, that as, in every political association, it is of primary importance that the great interests of each local section should be, skillfully and honestly, managed and protceted, so, in selecting the mode and means of management and protection, an especial regard should be had to the content and rational satisfaction of those most deeply coneerned, in sueh sectional interests. Theories and speculations of the closet, however,
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tion is equally Hence re-embarassuch case, activity. , from its ion, loeal. ible, that ever it is rassiment. t be, in a e wisest, speeies, oalise the at all, or is well as mosperity they bethe hopes of the inlioned and rose, conook, wilh , in remen, a y smail to an exadopted, $t$, or the the opi, inmediion has a politieal great in$y$ and hothe mode pecial retisfaction nal interhowever,
abundant, in a show of windom, are nerer to be admitted to take the place of those principles of conduct, in which experience las shewn the proqperity and safty of such in. terests to consist. Practical knowledge, and that sagacity, which resalts from lous attention to great interee is, never fail to iuspire a just self-confidence, in relation to those interests. A confidence, not to be browbeaten by autiority; nor circumvented by any general reasouing. And, in a national point of view, it is sca. \%ely of more importance, that the comrse adopted should be wise, than that content and rational satisfaction, shonld be given.

On this topie of locality, I shall confine myself to oue or tiwo very plain statements. It seems sulficient to ubscrve, that commeree is, from the nature of things the leading interest of more than one half, and that it is the predoninating interest, of more than one third, of the people of these United States. The States, North of the Potomae, contain nearly four millions of souls; and surely it needs no proof to convince the most casnal observer, that the proportion, which the commercial interest bears to the other interests of that great section of the Union, is such as entitles it to the denomination of a leading interest. The States, North of the Husison, contain nearly two and a half millions of souls; and surely there is as little need of proof to shew that. the proportion, the conmercial interest bears to the other interests of that Northern section of the unien is such, as entitles it, there, to the denomination of a prelominating interest. In all the country, between the Potomac and the Hudson, the interest of commerce is so great, in progortion to the ofler interests that its embarrassment clogs and weakens the energy of every other deseription of indastry. Yet the agrienltural aud manufacturing intereste of this section are of a nature and a magnitude, both in respeet of the staples of the one, and the objeets of the other, as render them, in a very considerable degree, independent of the commercial. And althoingh they feel the effeet of the obstruction of commerce, the feeliug, may be borne, for a loug time, wilhout inuch individual suffering; or any general distress. But, in the country, worth of the Mulson, the proportion and connexionis of these great inerests are dif.
ferent. Both agriculture and manafactures have,
set there, grown up in more intimate relation to commerce. The industry of that section has its shaje and energy from commereial prosperity. 'To the const:uetion, the supply and the support of navigation, its manufactures have a direct, or indirect, reference. And it is not very different with its agriculture. A country, divided into small farms, among, a population. great, compared with its extent, requires quich cirentation and easy processes, in the exchange of its commodities. 'inis can only be obtained by an active and prosperous rommerce.

In order more clearly to apprehend the locality of the commercial interest, cast your eyes upon the abstract of tonage, lately laid upou our tables, according to annual custom, by the secretary of our treasury. It will be found that
The aggregate tonnage of the U. States is Of this there is owned between the Missis.
sippi and the Potomac - $\quad-\quad$ - 221,000
Between the Potomac and the Hudson, $\mathbf{3 2 1 , 0 0 0}$
And north of the Hudson, - . . 882,000
1,424,009
If this tonnage be estimated, new and old, as it may, without extravagance, at an average value of fifty dollars the ton ;
The total aggregate value of the tennage of the United States may be stated, in round numbers, at
$870,000,000$
Of which four seventlis are owned north of the Hudson, equal to

- \$40,000,000

Two sevenths are owncd between the Hudson and the Potomac, equal to . . . $\$ 20,000,000$ One seventh is owned south of the Potomac, equal to - - - $\$ \mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$
$\$ 70,000,000$
To place the locality of this interest in a light still more striking and impressive, I state, that it appears by that abstiact that the single state of Massacut-
tures have, il to comshape and e const:uc lion, its marence. And A country, dion. great, eulation and dities. 'inis s rommerce. cality of the : abstract of g. to annual will be found Tons.
1,424,000
221,000
321,000
882,000
1,424,003 1, as it may, fifty dollars
of
$870,000,000$
\$40,000,000
on
$820,000,0 \mathrm{CJ}$ c,
$\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$
$870,000,000$
a light still it appears by Massacru:-
sette alone, possesses neakit hatf a midigon of roviage. Precisely, in rond numbers, 496,000 tons.

An amount of tomage equal, within fifty thousamel lons, to the whole tonnage, owned by all the states south of the Hulson.

I refer to this execssive disproportion between the tonnage, owned in diflerent states and sectious of the United States. rather as a iype, than as an estimate, of the greatness of the complarative disproportion of the whole commereial interest, in those respective states and sections. The trmal is, this is much greater than the proportion of tornage indirates, inasmuch as the cavitat and the industry, oceupied in tindinge employ for this great amount of tonnage, arre almost wholly possessed by the seetions of the country, to whicly that tomnage celongs. A satisfactory estinate of the value of that capital and industry would require a minuteness of detain, litide reconcileable citlar with your patience, or with the neecssity of the present argument. Enoagh has been said to convince any one, who will tahe the tronble to reflect upon the suljoet, that the interest, is, in its nature, eminently local ; that it is impossible it can he systematically abandoned, withont convulsing that whole section of comntry ; and that the states, interested in this eommerce, so vital to their prosperity, have a right ro claim, and ougit not to be content with less, han eflicient protection.

The implerions nature of this duty will be still farther cufored by considering the greatness of this interest. In doing this, I prefer to preseut a single view of it; lest by distracting the attention to a $\&$ reat variety of particulars, the effeet of the whole shomld be lost, in the multitude of defails. Let us inquire into the amount of property, anmually exposed to maritime depredation and what the protertion of it is worth, to the nation, whieh is its proprietor. An estimate of thas kind must, necessarily, be very loose and general. Tut it will be, sufficiently, aceurate to answer all the purposes of the argument. For the subject is of that mascive character, that a mistake of many millions makes no material alteration, in the conclusion to be drawn from the statement.
The total export of the United States, in the
treasury year, ending on the 1st day of

October, 1807, was $108,000,000$ of dollars. That of the year ending the 1 st of October, 1811, was $\$ 61,000,000$. The average value excced, $80,000,000$. But to avoid all cavil I state the annual average value of exports of the United States, at To this add the annual average value of the shipping of the United States, which, new and old, cannot be less than $\$ 50$ the ton, and on one million four hundred thousand cons, is also - - - ${ }^{-}$-
To this add the average annual value of on voyages of all descriptions, may be fairly stated at $\mathbb{S}, 70$ the ton, and is For this estimate of the value of freight and tonnage, $\bar{I}$ an indebted to an honorable friend and colleague, (Mr. Reed) whose information and general intelligence concerning commercial subjects are, peritels, not exceeded by those of any gentleman, in cither branch of congress.
To this add the total average value of property annually at risque, in our coasting trade, which cannot be less than and probably far exceeds ur seamen are also the suljects of annual exposure. 'The value of this lardy, industrions and gencrous race of men is not to be estimated in money. The pride, the hope and, if you would permit, the bulwark of this commercial community, are not to be put into the seale, against silver, or gold, in any moral, or political estimate. Iet, for the present ohject, I may be permitted to state the value of the skill and industry of these freemen, to their country, at S 500 each, which 1 : 120,000 seamen themiquestionable numleer is . .. . . . . 60,000,000

Making a gross aggresate of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { S } 70,000,000 \\
\\
\mathbf{8 0 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
93,000,000
$$

$100,000,006$

60,000,000
S 398,000,000

Although I have no question of the entire correctness of this calculation, yet for the purpose of avoiding every objection, which might arise, in relation to the value of freisht or tomage, I put out of the quesion ninety-eight millions, of the above estimate, and state the amount of annual maridime exposure at only $\$ 300.000,000$.

T'o this minst be added the value of the property on our seaboard, of all the lives of our citizens and of all the eities and liabitations, on the coast, exposed to instant insult and violation, from the most contemptible marltime plumderer. No man can think that I am extravagant, if I add, on his accoumt, an amount equal to that, annially exposed at sea, and state the whole amount of maritime and sea coast exposure, in round numbers, at Six Hunmele Mildions of Dolears.

I an aware that this estimate falls short of the reality. I know dhat the safety of our domesti? hearths and our altars, and the security of all the dear and tender ohjocts of affection and duty, whieh surround them, are beyond the reach of peenniary estimates. But, I lay those considerations out of the question, and simply inquire, what is the worth of a rational legree of security, in time of war, for such an amount of property, considering it merely as an interest to be insured, at the market late, of the worth of protection. Suppose an individual had sueh a property at risque, which, in time of peace, was subject to so much plunder and insult, and, in time of war, was liable to be swept away, wonld he not te deemed, unwise, or rather absolutely, mad, if he neglected, at the annual sacrifice of one, or two, or even three per cent, to obtain, for this property, a very high degree of security ; as ligh, perlaps, as the divine will, permits man to enjoy, in relation to the possessions of this life, which, according to the fixed dispensations of his Providence, are necessarily meertain and transitory? But suppose that instead of one, two, or three per cent, he could by the regular annual applieation of two thirds of one per cent, upon the whole amount of the property, at rispue, obtain a security thus high and sesimable. To what language of wonder and contempt would such an individual subject limself, who, at so smatl a bacrifice, should refuse, or negleet to obtain so
important a blessing ? What, then, shall he said of a nation. this neglecting and thos reftusimg, when to it attach, not only all the considerations of interest and preservation of property, which belong to the individual, but other, and far higher, and more inpressive : suth as the mantenance of its peace, of its loonor. the safety of the lives of its eitizens, of its seaboard from devastation ami even, perhaps, of its children, and females firom massacre, or bintal violence? Is there any lampuage of contempt and detestation too strong fia such blind infatuation ; such palpable improvidence? For let it be remembered that two thirds of one per cont, upon the cmount of property. thes ammally enposed. is Sour Millions of Hollars. 'The anmal, systematie appropriation of which amount would answer all the purposes and hopes of eommerce, of your cities and seaboard.

But, perhaps, the greatness of this interest and our pecumiary ability to proteet it may he made more strikingly apparent, by a comparison of our commeree with that of Great Britain, in the single partionlar of expory.

I state, then, as a fact, of which any man may satisfy himself, by a reference to N-Pherson's Amals of Comneree, where the tables of British export may be found, that taking the une years priber the war of our sevolation from 1766 to $17 \%$, inclusive, the total average expert of Grat Britain was $l$. $16,060.000$ storling, equal to
 than the present total average export of the U. States.
 and ending wilh fate inclusive, the tetal average ammal export of Great livitain was 1. at.0000,000 sterlinge, equal to $\delta$ ( $106.000,600$, which is less ly two millions of dollars, than the total export of the United States, in 1807 . It is true that this is the geferinl rolue of the British export

 diminishes the approxination of the Ameriean to the Mridish commerce, in point of amomut does mot materially affert bor argment. Noon the hanis of her eommeree Great fortoin mantains a matitme fore of eipht hanAred, or a thons:nd, vesseis of war, And will it be se-
e said! of a naicn to it attach, and preservaindividual, but : : surlh as the e salfty of the devastatior and es from massaMasge of coma bind infatuaat it le rememthe amount of Hillions of itoltion of which Whapes of comi-
re.t and ourpemore strikingly er with that of export.
an may satisfy Cminals of Commay ine tound, - of our revoluaverage exMing, equal in Hion of dullars, de U. Stater. ling with sq94. averege annual sterling, equal ions of dollars, in 1807. It is British export : promap thir. it, in a derpree, iman to the Brinot materially her commerre of cipht humI will it bes se-
riously contended that, upon the basis of a commeree, like ours, thus treading upon the hedis of Britisli greatness, we are absolutely without the ability of mainaining the secturity of our sea-hoard, the safty of our eilies and the unolstructed course of our coasting, trade?

By recurring to the permanency of this interest. the folJy and madness of this negligence, and miyplaced meanness, for it does not deserve the name of economy, will be, still more distinctly, exhihited. If this commerce were the mushroom growth of a night, if it had its vigeur from the temporary exeitement and the aceumuated nutriment, which warring elements, in Europe, had swept from the phaces of their natmol deposit, thea, indeed, there might be some exeuse for a temporising policy, tomehiag so transitory an interest. But commerce, in the rastern states, is of no foreggn growth; and of noo adventitious seed. Its root is of a fine, whel a'most two centuries lave nomished. And the perpetuity of its desting is written, in legible characters, as well in the mature of the combtry, as in the dispositions of its inhatitants. Indeed, sir. look along your whole coast, from Passamaquody to Capes Hemry and Charles, and hehold the deep and har winding creeks and inlets, the nolde basons, the proiecting head lands, the majestie rivers, and those somdes and bays, which are more like ialand seas, than like any thing. called by those names, in othrer quarters of the globe. Gam any man do this and not wat lize, that the destiny of the people, inhalliting sueli a combry, is essemtially muttime? Can any man do this. without heing impresset by the conviction that. ahhough the poor projeets of politieinus may embarras, for a time, the dispositions, growing out of the condition of such a comery, yet that nature will be too strong for cohweb regulations and will vindiate her rights, with certuin effeet; perhaps with awfin pesils? No matom creer did, or cever ought to, resist such allurements and invitations to a purtientur mode of industry. 'The pmoposes of Providenee, relative to the destination of menare to be gathered from the cireumstanes, in which his hencficence hats phaced them. And, to sefise to make use of the mearas of prosperity, which !is groduess hats put into our hands.
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what is it, but spurning at his bounty, and rejecting the blessings, whieh his infinite wisdom hats designated for us, by the very nature of his allotments? The employments of industry, connected with navigation and commercial caterprise, are precious to the people of that quarter of the country, by ancient prejudice, not less than by recent profit. The oceupation is rendered dear and venerable, by all the cherishod assoeiations of our inflaney and all the sage and prudential maxims of our ancestors. And, as to the lessons of eneonragement, derived from reeent experience, what nation, ever within a similar period, received so many that were sweet and salutary? What natiwn. in so short a time, ever before asecnded to such a height of commereial giveatness ?

It has been said, by some philosopleers of the other hemispliere, that nature, in this new world, had worked biy a sublime seale; fhat our mountains, and rivers and lakes were, beyond all comparison, greater than any thing fie ohd world cerid boast ; that she had, here made nothing diminhive.-axcery urs animals. And ought we not to fear hest the hitterness of this sareasm should be concentrated on our combry, by a course of poliey, wholly numerthy of the magnitude and nature of the interests, committed to our ginardianshin? Have we rot reason to ficar that some future eynic, wilh an asperity, which truth shatl make piereing, will declare, that all things, in these I'nited States, are great,-except its statesmen; and hat we are pigmico, to whom Providence has entrusted, for scme inserntahle purpose, siguntic labours? Can we deny the justiee of such severty of remark, if, instead of adopiing a srate of thought and a standard of aetion, propertionate to the greaturss of our trust and the mubtipherd neeessities of the people, we bring to our task the mere measures of professional industry; and mete out contrihutions for mational safety by our fee-tables, our yard sticks and our gill-pots? Can we refiain from subsurihing to the truth of such censure, if we do not rise, in some degree, to the height of our obligations; and tract; ourselves ta conceive, and with the people to realise, the vasuncss of those relations, which are daily spring-
rejecting the ignated for us, employments d commercial rat quarter of than by reeent and venerable, afancy and all stors. And, as 1 from recent lar period, rey? What naded to such a
of the other d, had worked nd rivers and than any thing e made nothing ought we not should be conpoliey, wholly - the interests, e not reason to ity, which truth things, in these atesmen; and has entrusted, ours? Can we ark, if, instead dard of action, st and the multo our task the and mete out fee-tables, our frain from subwe do not rise, bligations ; and cople to realise, e daily spring-
ing among states, which are not so much one erapire, as a congregation of empires?
Having coneluded what I intended to suggest, in relation to the uature of the interest to be protected, I proceed to consider the nature of the protection, whinch, it is ourduty to extend.

And, here, Mr. Speaker, I am necessitated to make an observation, which is so simple and so obvious, that, were it not for the arguments, urged against the principle of maritime protection, 1 should have deemed the mere mention of it, to require an apology. The remark is this, that rights, in their nature local, can only be maintained, where they exist, and not where they do not exist. If you had a field to defend in Georgia, it would be very strauge to put up a fence in Massachusetts. And yet, how does this differ from invading Canada, five the purpose of defending our maritime rights? I beg net to be understood, Mr. Speaker, by this remark, as in. tending to ehill the ardor for the Canala expedition. It is very true, that to possess ourselves of the Canadas, and Nova Scotia and their dependencies, it would rust these, United States, at the least estimate, Fifty Millions of Dollars; and that Great-Britain, national pride, and lier pledge of protection to the people of that country, being put out of the question, would sell you the whole territory, for balf the moncy. I make no oljection, however, on this aecount. On the contrary, for the purposes of the present argument, I may admit, that preuniary ealculation ought to be put out of the field, when spirit is to be shewn, or honor vindicated. I only desiyn to infuire how our maritime rights are protected, by such invasion. Suppose that, in every land project, you are suecessful. Suppose both the Canadas, Quebec, Halifax, every thing to the North Pole, yours, by fair conquest. Are your rights, on the ocean, thercfore, secure ? Does your flag float, afterwards, in honor? Are your seamen safe from impressment? Is your course along the highway of nations unobstructed? No one pretends it. No one las, or can shew, by any logical deduction, or any detail of faets, that the loss of those countries would so compress Great Britain, as to induce her to abandon for one
hour. any of her maritime pretensions. What then results? Why. Sir, What is palpable, as the day, that maritime rights are oniy to be maintained by marime means. This spectes of protection mast he given, or all clamor about maritine rights will be understood, by the people interested in them, to he hollow. or false; ore what is worse, an intention to co-operate, with the cuemies of one comanere, in as sit farther cubarrassment of it.
Wh:ie I am on this puint. I camot refrain ficim noticing a strange solecism. which seems to prevail, touching the rem ras. It is taked aboat, as hongh there was somethinge mystieal in its very nature ; as thangh :a rag, with certain strpes and stars nem it, tied to a stick, and calIod a flag. was a wizzad wand. and entailed seemrity on cerery thing under it, or within its sithere. There is notimg the at this, in the nathere of the thing. A thag is the evidence of pawer. A land flog is the eridence of land power. A matime flag is the evidence of maritime power. Fou may lave a piece of bunting upon a staff, and mall it a flag. hut if you have no maritime power to manatan it, you have a natue, and no reality; yon have the shadav, withont the substance; you have the sign of a bag, bith introth-rou have no flag.

In considering this snbject of maritme protection, $\mathbf{I}$ shall reen to the natare and degree of it, and to our eapaciy to extond it. And. licre, we are ahwys met, at the very threshold with this objection; "A maval force requires mach time to get it into readiness, and the exjgeney will be past, before the preparation can be compleated!." Thus want of foresight, in times past, is made an apology for want of foresight, in the time present. We were unwise, in the beginuing and unwise we resolve to continue, until the end of the chapter. We refinse to do any thing mill the manent of exigeney, and then it is too late. 'Shas our improvidence is made sponsor for eur disinclination. liut what is the law of nature and the diefates of wistom, on this subject? 'The rasmalties of life, the acecidents, to which man is exposed, are the modes, established by Providenee, for his instruction. This is the law of our mature. Hence it is that adversity is said to keep a school, for certain people, who will learn in no
othe

Viat then reday, that by maritime gisen, or all stood, by the or false ; or, , the enemies shen of it. frem noticius touching the ere was someh:a rag, with tick, and cald secmrity on re. There is ling. $A$ flag evidence of of maritime : upon a staft, ine power to ity : you have we the sigu of
protection, I and to one eaWays met, at A naval force ss, and the exn can be collspast, is made me presentise we resolve We refuse to , and then it is sponsor for eur ature and the e casualties of are the mondes, ction. This is Nersity is said ill learn in no
other. Hence, too, the poet likens it to "a toad, ugly " and venomous, which hears a precious jewel, in its head." And, in another place, but with the same general relation, " out of this thoru danger, we pluck the flower safety." This law is just as relative to nations, as it is to individuals. For, notwitlistanding all the vaunting of statesmen, their whole business is to apply an enlarged common sense to the affair's, entrusted to their management. It is as much the duty of the rulers of a state, as it is that of an iudividual, to learn wisdon from misfortune, and to daw, from every particular instance of adversity, those maxims of coiduct, by the collection and application of whieh, our intellectual and moral natures are distinguished and elevated. In all cases of this kind, the inquiry ought to be, is this exigency peculiar, or is it general? Is it oue, in which human effort is unavailing, and therefore requires, only, the sxercise of a resignation and wise submission to the divine will? $O^{r}$ is it one, which skill, or power, may limit, or obviate? On the result of this inguiry our obligations depend. For when man conducts toward a general evil, as though it were peculiar ; or when, through ignoranee, or pusillanimity, he neglects to use the means of relief, or prevention, to the extent, in which he possesses them; if he stretches himself ont, in a stupid languor, and refuses to do ary thing, because he finds he cannot do every thing, then, indeed, all his clamours against the course of nature, or the conduct of others, are but artifices, by which he would coneeal from the world, perhaps from himself, the texture of his own guilt. His misfortunes are, in such case, his crimes. Let them proceed from what source they will, he is himself, at least, a half-worker in the fabric of his own miseries.

Mr. Speaker, can any one contemplate the exigency, which at this day, depresses our country, and for one moment, deem it peculiar? The degree of such commercial exigencies may vary, but they must, always, exist. It is absurd to suppose that such a population as is that of the Atlantic states can be either driven, or decoyed, from the ocean? It is just as absurd to imagine, that wealth will not invite cupidity; and that weakness will C
not insure, both insut and phanter. The cireumstanees of our age make this ruth, signally impressive. Whodoes not see, in the conduet of Europe, a general departure from those commen prime iples, which oure constmated national morality? What is saff, which power can scize, or ingenuity ran ciremmeat? or what timblis more palpabe than these, - Hat there is no satety for matiomal riyhts, but in the national arm : and that inbortant interests, systematically pursued, mast be systematicaliy protected.
Touching the mature and degree of that maritime proteetion, which it may be wise. in this matien, to extend, to its maritime interests, it seems to me, that our extrions bhould rather be excited than gradeated, by the present exigeney; that our duty is to inguire, upon a sencal scale, what our commercia! cidzens, have. in this rosicet, a right to chain; wind what is the nopurstionable obligation of a commerrial mation, to se syeat a dass of its interests. For this purquse, ny observations will have reference, rather to the mincighes of the system, than to the provisions of the bill. now mater detate. Undonbtcelly, an appropriation for the buiddas of ten, or any other additional momber of frigates, would be so distinet a manifestation of the intentien of the mational lemishature to extend to commeree, its uatural protection, ats ia itself to ontweigh any theoretic preferene, for a maritime force of a higher chameter. I camot, therefore, bat cordally support an appropriation for a species of protection, so imsortant and desirable. Yet, in ain argmea, having relaGiun to the system, rather whan to the vecasion, I trust I shall have the indulgence of the house, if wy comse of reflections should tabe a wider gange than tia propositions on the table, and embrace, withia the seope of remark, the general prineciples, by which the nature and depree of systematic naval protection should, in my judgmeat be regulated.
Here. it seems. harily, necessary to observe, that a main ohject of all protection is satisfaction to the persnns, whose interests are imtembed to bo protected. And to this onjeet a peculiar attention oupht to be paid, when it happens, that the majority of the rulers of a nation are composed of persons, not, immediately, concerned in
thos over thins duel tenti For, spea! at gove wisd deen prote :lly
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those interests, and uot, generally, su_pected of having an overwerning attarhment to them. In such a state of things, it is peculiarty important, that the course of conduct adoped shonid be such as to indicate, systematic intention as to the chat, and wise alaptation, as io the means. For, in no other way, can that satisfiction, of which i sprak, result, and which. is, in a national point of view, at the same time, one of the mast important objects of government, and one of the most certain evidences of ita wislom. For men. interested in protection, will always deem, themselves, the best julges of the matme of that protertion. And as surh men can never be content with any thinse shat of efficient protection, according to the nature of the ofjocet, so' instinct, not less than reason, will instruct them, whether the means you employ are, in their nature. real, or illusnoy. Now, in order to know what will give this satisfartion to the persons, interested, so desinable both to them and to the mation, it is neeessary to know the nature and gradation, in value of those interests and to extend protection, not so much with a lavish, as with a diserininating and parental hand. If it happen, in respect of any interesta as it is acknowledged, on all sides, it is, at present, the case, with the commercial, that it camot he protected, against all the world, to the uttermost of its greataess and dispersion, then the enquiry oncurs, what hramel of this interest is most precious to commercial men, and what is the nature of that protecfion, which will give ta it the highest degiee of certainy, of whim its matue is suseeptible? It has been by the result of these two inquiries, in my mind, that its pinion has been dremmined concerning the objects, and the degtee of protection.
Touching that branch of interest, which is most prefinus to commerefal men, it is impossible, that there can be any mistake. Hor, however, dear the interests of froperty, of of life, exposed upm the ocem, may be to thair owners, or their friends, the safety of our alfars and of our firesides, of our cities and of our seaboard, must, from the mature of things, be entwined into the affrions, by ties, incomparahly more strong and tender. Aud it happens that biath mational fride adhenor are pe-
ouliarly identified with the support of these primary objects of commercial interest.

It is in this view, I state that the first and most important object of the nation onght to be such a naval force, as shall give such a degree of rational security, as the nature of the subject admits, to our cities and sea-board and coasting trade ;-that the system of maritime protection ought to rest upon this basie; and that it should not attempt to go further, until these olijerts are secured. And I bave no hesitation to declare, that until such a maritime force be systematically maintained, by this nation, it shamefully neglects, its most important duties and most critical interests.

With respect to the nature and extent of this naval force, some difference of opinion may arise, according to the view, taken of the primary oljeects of protection. For myself, I consider, that those ohjects are first to be protected, in the safety of which, the national character and happiness are most deeply interested. And these are, chicfly, concerned, beyond all question, in the preservation of our maritime settlements, from pillage and our coast from violence. For this purpose, it is requisite, that there should be a ship of war, for the harior of every great city of the United Slates, equal, in point of force, to the usual grade of slips of the line of the maritime belligerents. These ships might be so instructed, as to act singly, or together, as circumstances might require. My reason for the selection of this speeies of force is, that it puts every city and great harbor of the United States, in a state of security from the insults, and the inhabitants of your sea coast. from the depredation, of any single slip of war of any nation. To these should be added a number of frigates and smaller vessels of war. By such means our coasting trade might be protected, the mouths of our harbors secured, in particular, that of the Mississippi, from the buccancers of the West. Indies and, hereafter, perhaps, from those of South America. A system of protection, graduated upon a seale, so conformable to the nature of the country and to the greatness of the commercial interest, would tend to quiet that spirit of jeaiousy, which so maturally. and so justly, be- a naval force, uity, as the nad sea-board and itime protection should not at; are secured.t until such a ned, by this naortant duties and
t of this naval se, according to of protection. $s$ are first to be rational characsted. And these ion, in the prerom pillage and ose, it is requifor the hartior , equal, in point of the line of the ht be so instructmmstances might of this speries of at harbor of the the insults, and edepredation, of these should be vessels of war. pht be protected, articular, that of the West Indies South America. a scale, so conand to the greatend to quiet that and so justly, be-
gins to spring among the States. Those interested, in Commeree would care little, what local influences predominated, or how the ball of power vibrated among our fietions, provided an effirient protection of their essential interests, upon systematic prineiples, was not only secured by the letter of the constitution, but assured by a spirit, pervaling every description of their rulers.
But it is said. that "we have not capacity to maintain such a naval force." Is it want of pecuniary, or want of physical eapacity? In relation to our pecuniary capacity, I will not condescend to add any proof to that plain statement already exhilited, showing that we have an annual commereial exposure, equal to six hundred millions of dollars, and that two thivels of one per cent, upon this amount of value, or four millions of dollars, is more than is necessary, if annually and systematically appropriated, for this great ohject; so auxiously and rightfully desired, by yonr sea-hoard, and so essential to the honor and obligations of the nation. I will only make a single other statement, by way of illustrating the smallness of the annual appropriations, necessary for the attaiment of this important purpose. The annual appropriation of one cixth of one per cent, on the amount of the value of the whole anual commercial exposure, (one million of dollars) is sufficient to build in two years, six seventy-four gun ships ; and taking the average expense, in peace and war, the annual appropriation of the same sum is sufficient to maintain them afterwards, in a condition for efficient service. This objection of pecuniary inability, may be believed in the iuteriop country, where the greatness of the commercial property and all the tender obligations, connected with its preservation, are not realized. But, in the eities and in the commereial states, the extent of the national resources is more truly estinated. They know the magnitude of the interest at stake and theit essential claim to protection. Why, sir, were we sericnsly to urge this objection of pecuniary incapacity. 10 tho commercial men of Massachnsett., they would laugh us to scorn. Let me state a siugle fact. In the yoar 17\%, the state, then the colony of Massachusets Bay, ineluded a population of 220,000 souls, and yet, in that infant state
of the country, it cwned a fleet consisting of threc ships, oue of which cetricd treenty suns-three snores-one hrig -and three :lonps; being an aggregate of ten vessels of war. 'These patook of the dangers, and shared in the slory, of that axperlition, which terminated with the surrender of Lonisburgh. Comparing the population, the extent of territory, the capital and all the other resomrees of this wreat nation, with the narrow means of the colony of Mossachesetts, at that period of its history, it is not extravagant to asselt, that the flect, it then possessed, if. proportion fo its pecmiary resonrees, was greater than would be, in propartion to the resourees of the United States, a flect of fily sail of the line and one humbred firggies. With what language of wonder and admiration dues that surat orator abd prince of moral statesmen, Edmund larke, in his speceh for conciliation will America, speas or the commeree and enterprize of that people! "When we speak of the commerce witi our colo" nies, fietion lass afice turh; invention is unfruitful, " and imassination cold and barren." "No sea, but what " 6 is vexed by their fisberies. No climate that is not wit" ness to their toils. Neither the perseverance of Hol" Iam, nor the activity of France, nor the dexterous and
"firm sagatity of English enteririse, ever earried this
" most perilous mode of hard industry to fin extent, to
"which it has heou mahed, hy this recerat peopie; a peo"fle, who are shill, as it were, bint in the s. s the, and not "yet handened into the bone of manhood." And shall the diserntionts of such a peophe be toll that their commercial rights are not wordh defending, that the national arm is not "gual to their proteetion? And this too, after the lapse of ahmost forty years has added an extent to theip thamere heyond all parallel, in history, and after the strensthand wenonces, associated to protect them execed, in point of population severimillions of sonls, possessing a real and persona! rapital, absolutely incaleulable?

Our peemanty capacity, then, is unquestionable, bit it is said, we are deficient in physieal power. It is btrange that those, who urge this ohjection, assert it only as if respeets Great homain, and admit, either expressly, or by dinplication, iadeent they cannot deny, that it is with-
in on agat we h naval must bots; it fol subin it foll other years, val pr been ber of depret loss, w of do asains canse shall Becau hark
But mainta btrus Hor til If the gaiast very rously ke thi omme igher

I threc ships, rus—one hrig ell vessels of hared in the with the surmulation, the der resomres of the colotory, it is not possessed, ir. greater than the United anc hundred d admiration statesmen, wilh Ameof that pentir our colounfruitful, a, hut what It is not witaee of Holxterous and carried this "exteat, to re: a peoHe, and hot And shall their com. ine mational is too, after a exteut to , and after themexis. possesscalculable? mable, but ver. It is seri it ouly expressly, it is with-
in our physical capacity to maintain our maritime rights against every other mation. Now, let it be mranted, that we have such an utter incapacity, in relation ro the British naval power; gront that, at the nod of that nation, we must abandon the ocesa, to the very mouths of our harbon's; nay our harhors themselves. What then? Does it follow that a naval foree is useless? Becanse we must submit to have our rights phudered by one power. does it follow that we must be tame and subuissive to every other? Look at the fact. We have, within these ten years, lost mone property by the plunder of the minor naval powers of Europe, France ineluded, han would havo been enough to have built and maintained twice the number of ships, sufticient for our protection, ascainst their depredations. I eannot exceed the fite when I state the loss, within that period, by those powers, at /hirty millions of dolla:s. Our capacity to defend our commeree, against every one of these powers, is undeniahle. Becanse we cannot maintain our rights against the strong, shall we bear insult, and invite pluader, from the weak? Because there is one Leviathan, in the oecan, shatl every thark satiate his maw, on um fituess, with impunity?
But let us examine this doctrine of utter inability to maintain our maritime righta, against Great hritain, so betrsively and vehemently maintaiaed by some, who clapor the most violently, against her insults and injurics. ff the project were to maintain onr marritime rights, frainst that mistress of the sea, ly convoys spread oververy oceam, there would, indecd, be, somedhing, hudirously fanciful and wild, in the proposition. But nething the this is either oposed, or desired. The hamility of ommercial hopes in reference to that nation, rises no igher that the protection of eur hatbors, the security of IU coasts and coasting trade. Is it possibhe that sueh a wer as this shall be denied to exist, in this mation? If exist, is ; prossible that its excreise shall be within!
Look at the present state of our harlors and sea-const. e their exposirre, I will not say to the fleets of Great fitain, but to any single ship of the line ; to any single gate ; to any single sloop of war: It is true the policy
of that nation induces her to regard your prohibitory laws, and her ships, now, seldon risit your ports. But suipose her policy shonld change; suppose any one of here ships of war should chonse to burn any of the numerous settlements upon your sea-coast ; or to plunder the inhabitants of it ; would there not be sonac security to those exposed citizens, if a naval force were lying, in every great harbor of the United States, competent to protect, or avenge the aggression of any single ship of war, of whatever fore ? Would not the knowledge of its existence teach the naval commanders of that nation, both cantion and respect? Sir. It is worthy of this nation, and fully within its capacity, to maintain such a force. Not a single sea-bull shonld put his head over our acknowledged water-line, without finding a power, sufficient to take $l . i m$ by the horns.

But it is said that, " in case of actual war, with Great " Britain, our ships would be useless. She would come "and take them.". In reply, to this objection, 1 shali not vecur to those dietails of cireumstances, already so fiequently stated, which would give our ships of war, fighting. on their own roasts, and in the proximity of relief and sump, so many advantages over the ships of a nation, bibiged to come three thonsand miles to the comlat. Bat allowing this argment, from British naval superiority its full forec, 1 ask, What is that temper, on which a nation can most safely rely in the day of trial ? Is it that, which takes council of fear, or that, which listens, only, to the suggestions of duty? Is it that, which magnifies all the wal dangers, until hope and exertion are paralized, in their first germimations? Or, is it that, which dates 10 attempt unhle emds, by appropuiate means; which, wisely, weighing the nature of any anticipated exigeney, prepares. aceording to its powers, resolved that whatever else it may want. to itself, it will neverbe wantiag? Grant all that is said, coneerning British nava! superiority, in the chents of war, hats comparative weakness nothing to hope firoun apportunity? Are not the cireumstanees, in which this comntry and Great Britain would be placed, relative to naval combats, upon our own coast, of a nature to strengtien the hope of such
eppo ditio Mr.
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ilititory laws, s. But sui. one of her the numerous dele the inhaurity to thoss ing, in every ont to protect, ip of war, of of its exist. ion, both cauis nation, and a force. Not our acknow, sulficient to
r, with Great te would come ection, 1 slali already so fieof war, tightmity of relief ships of a nato the comBritish naval s that temper, the day of tricar, or that, f duty? Is it until liope and mations? Or , is , by approprinature of any to its powers, to itsclf, it will conecrning Brir, has comparacortmity? Are ntry and Great combats, ulion e hope of such
epportunity ? Is it of no worth to a nation to be in a condition to avail itself of conjunctures and oecurrences? Mr. Speaker. Preparation, in such cases, is every thing. All history is replete with the truth, that " the battle is not, always, to the strong,--but that time and chance happen to all." Suppose that Great Britain should send Twelve Seventy-fours to burn our cities, or lay waste our coasts. Might not such a naval force be dispersed by sterms; diminished hy shipwreeks; or delayed and weakened by the events of the voyage? In suchi case, would it be nothing to have even half that number of line of battle ships, in a state of vigorous preparation, ready to take the advantage of so probable a circumstance ; and so providential an interposition ? The adage, of our school books, is as true, in relation to states, as to men, iu comnzon life ;-" Meaven helps those, who help thembelves." It is alnost a law of hature. God grants every thing to wisdom and virtue. He denies every thing to folly and baseness. But suppose the wor'st. Grant that, in a battle; such as our brave spamen would fight, in delence of their country, our naval force be vauquished. What then ? Did enemies ever phunder, or violate, more ficrely, when weakencd and erippled by the effeets of a hard bought victory, than when flusted, their veins full, they rush upon their prey, with enpidity stimulated by contompt? Didany foe, ever grant to pusillanimity, what it would have denied to prowess? To be conquered, is not, always, be disgraced. Tho heroes, who shall pers.h in such combats, shall not fall in vain for their country. 'Their blood will be the most precious, as well as the strongest, cement of our Union. What is it, that constitutes, the motal tie of our nation ? Is it that paper contract, called the constitution? Why is it, that the man of Virginia, the man of Carolina, and the man of Massachusetts are dearer to each other than is, to either, the man of South America or the West Indics? Locality has little to do wih implantiug this inherent feeling and personal acquaintance less. Whenee, then, does it result, but from that moral sentiment, which pervades all and is precious to all, of having shared common dangers, for the attainment of commou blessings. The strong ties of every
people are those, which spring from the heart and twine through the affections. The lamily compact of the States bas this for its basis, that their heroes lave mingled their blood, in the same contests; that all have a common right in their glory; that, if I may be allowed the expression, in the temple of patriotisin all have the same worship.

But it is inquired, "what effect will this policy have npon the prosent exigency?" I. answer, the happiest, in every aspect. To exhibil a definitive intent to maintain maritime rights, by maritime means, what is it, but to develope new stamina of national character? No nation can, or has a bight, to hoperespeed fiom ethers, which does not first learn to respect itself. And low is this to be attained? By a course of confluct, conformable to its duties, and relative to its condition. If it abandons, what it ought to defend; if it flies from the field, it is bound to maintain, how can it lone for honor? 'To what other inheritance is it entilled, but disgrace? Foreign nations, undonbtedly, look upon this union, with eyes, long, read in the history of man ; and with thoughts, decply, versed, in the effects of passion and interest, npon independent states, associated by ties, so, apparently, slight and novel. They understand well, that the rivalries among the eqreat interests of such states; the natural envyings, whieh, in all countrics, spring betwecu agriculture, commerce and manufactures ; the inevitable jeulousies and fears of each other, of south and north, interior and sea-boad : the incipient, or progressive rancour of party animosity ; are the essential weaknesses of sovercignties, thus, combined. Whether these causes shall operate, or whether they shall cease, foreign nations will gather from the featires of ome policy. They camot believe that such a nation is strong, in the affections of its associated parts, when they see the vital interests of whole states abmaloned. But reverse this policy; show a delinitive and stable intent to yich the natural protection to such essential interests; then they will respect yon. And, to powerful nations, honor comes attended by safety.
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flag is insulted;-bceause its seamen are impressed;because its course, upon the highway of the ocean, is obstructed? No, sir. Abstractedly considered, all this is not disgrace. Because all this may happen to a nation, so weak as not to be able to mainta:n the dignity of its flag; or the freedom of its citizens; or the safety of its course. Natural weakness is never disgrace. But, sir, this is disgrace; when we submit to insult, and to injury, which we have the power to prevent, or redress. Its essential constituents are want of sense, or want of spirit. When a nation, with ample means, for its defence, is so thick in the brain, as not to put them into a suitable state of preparation; or, when, with sufficient muscular force, it is so tame, in spirit, as to seek safety, not in manly effort, but in retirement; then a nation is disgraced; then it shrinks from its high and sovereign charater, into that of the tribe of Issachar, erouching down, between two burdens; the French burden, on the one side, and the British burden, on the other, so dull, so lifeless, so stupid, that, were it not for its braying, it could not be distinguished from the clod of the valley.

It is impossible for European nations not to know, that we are the second commereial country, in the world; that we have move than seven millions of people; with less annual expenditure anci more mpledged sources of revenue than any nation, of the civilized world. Yet a nation, thus, distingnishod, abounding in wealth, in enterprise and in power, is seen, fifing away from "the unprofitable contest." abandoning the field of controversy; taking refuge behind its own doors and softening the rigors of oppression abroad. by a compavison, with worse toments, at home. Ought such a nation to ask for respect? Is there any other mode of relief from this depth of disgrace, than by a change of national conduet and character?

With respect to Great Britain, it scems impossible, that such a change, in out poliey, should not be auspicious. No natiou ever did, or ever can conduct towards one, that is true, in the same way as it eonduets towarde one, that is false to all its obligations. Clear coneeptions of interest and failaful fulfilment of duty, as certainly in-
sure, somer or later, honor and safety, as blindness to interest and abandonment of duty do, assuredly, entail disgrace and embarassment. In velation to the principle, whieh regulates the commercial conduct of Great Britain towards the United States, there is much scope for diversity of opinion. Perhaps, thosés judge most truly, who do not attribute to ker any very distinct, or uniform, system of action, in relation to us; but who deem her course to result from views of temporary expedience, growing out of the circuastances of the time, and the eharacter of our alministration. If this he the case, then, whatever eourse of conduet has a tendency to show a change, in the character of the American poliey, must produce a proportionate change, in that of the British.And if tameness and systematic ahandoument of our commereial rights have had the effect to bring upon us so many miseries, a contrary course of conluct, having for its basis a wise spirit and systematie naval support, it may well be hoped, will have the oppasite effect of renewing our prosperity. But, if it be trie. as is so frequently and so confidently, asserted, that Great Britain is jealous of our commercial greatness; if it be true that she would depress us, as rivals; if she begins to regard us as a power, which may soon curl, if not, in aftertimes spurn, her proud control on her fivorite element, then, indeed, she may be disposed to quench the ardor of our naval enterprise; then, indeed, it may be her care so to shape the course of her policy as to deprive our commerce of all hope of its natural protection ; and to co-operate with, and cherish, sueh an alminisuration, in this country, as hates a naral foree and lovos commerecial restriction.In this view of her policy ; and I am far from asserting, it is not correct, is it not obvious, that she may he cantent, with the present condition of our commerce? Except acknowledged colonial wassalage, what state of things wetid be mere desireable to her? The whole sea is her own. Her Ameriean rival, tamely, makes cession of it to her possession. Our commercial capital is, already, seeking employment, in her cities; and our seamen, in her ships. What then results? Is it not, on this view of her poliey, undeniable, that an administration, in this
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country, for the purposes of Great Britain, is such as thinks commeree not worth having, or not worth defending ; such as, in every scheme of nownal protection, meditates to it nething, but adhlimal cmbarassment and eventual abandoument? Must not such an aflminisistration be convenien! to a British ministry, if such be British policy? And if British ministers should ever find such an administration, in this country, made th their hands, may we uot anticipate that they will take care, to manage, with a view to its continuance iu power? of all palicy the most ominous to British ascendaney, is that of a systematic, maritime defence of our maritime rights.

The general effect of the policy, Iadvocate, is to produce confidence at home, and respect abroad. These are twin shoots from the same stock and never fail to flomish, or fade together. Coufidence is a plant of no mushiroom growth and of no artificial texture. It spriugs, only, from sage councils and generous endeavors. The protection, you extend must be efficient aud suited to the uature of the object, you profess to maintain. If it be neither adequate, nor appropriate, your wisdom may he doubted, your motives may be distrusted, but, in vain, you expeet confidence. The iuhabitants of the sea-board will inquire of their own senses and not of your logic, concerning the reality of their protection.

As to respect abroad, what cource can be more certain to ensure it ? What ohject more honorable, what noore dignified, than to behold a great nation pursuing wise ends, by appropriate means ; rising to adopt a series of systematic exertious, suited to hrr power and adequate to her purposes? What olyject more consolatory to the friends, what more paralizing to the enemies of our union, than to behold the natural jeatousies and rivalries, which are the acknowledged dangers of our politicial condition, subsiding, or sacrificing? What sight more exhilirating than to see this great nation, once more, walking forth, among the nations of the earth, under the protection of no foreign shifeld? Peaceful, because powerful. Powerful, because nated in interests and analgamated by concentration of those interests, in the national affections.

But let the opposite policy prevail ; let the cssential interests of the great componcut parts of this union find no protection, under the national arm ; instead oi safety, let them realize oppession, and the seeds of discord, and dissolution are, inevitahly, sown, in a soil, the best fitted for their root, and affording the richest nourishment for their expansion. It may be a long time before they ripen. But, ssoner, or hater, they will assuredly, burst forth. inall their destructive energies. In the intermediate period, what aspect does an union, thus destitute of cemient, present? Is it that of a mation keen to discern and strong to resist riolations of its sovereignty ? It has rather the appearance of a casual collection of semi-barbarous clans; with the forms of civilization and with the rude and rending passions of the savage state. In truth, powerful. Yet, as to any foreign eftect, imbecile. Rich in the goods of fortune, yet wantiog that inherent spirit, without which a nation is poor indeed; their strength exhausted, by struggles for local power; their moral sense debased, by low intrigues for personal popuarity, or temporary pre-eminence; all their thoughts turned, not to the safety of the state, but to the elevation of a chieftain. A people, presenting such an aspect,-what have they to expect abioad? What, but pillage, insult and scorn?

The choice is before us. Persist in refusing efticient maritime protection; persist in the system of commercial restrictions; what now, is, perhaps, anticipation, will, hereafter, be history.
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